

Administration- Section One Comments

Inside town area without destroying nostalgia of area.

Single family homes, not high density.

limited growth

do not over build like Fruita & Grand Junction
Stop low end housing

SHUT DOWN GOVERNMENT!!

GOVERNMENT SHOULD GET OUT OF
THE WAY AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY!!

Comments for this section:

But not too much, the "agricultural village atmosphere"
I feel helps bring in tourism & makes it a great place
to live. I do not wish for palisade to get too big, it's
a nice place for other people to visit, but not stay.

Comments for this section: I'm in favor of more tourist/recreation
opportunities in town. If someone makes a case
for the other areas, I'd listen. A regular e-mail
newsletter would help me understand the current
state of the town & its needs. Most of what I know
comes through the rumor mill.

Only if they are not government subsidized

Comments for this section: But where we are land locked by I-70 + the river. The canyon to the east + previous farmland to the west.

For more growth to happen, it would cost the town more.

Comments for this section:

as long as they not those ugly tract homes that all look alike that have been going in a while

Comments for this section:

I would support smart growth that blends with the existing community. I do not support developing farmland into subdivisions

I would especially like to see mixed-use development that echoes the Victorian house aesthetic that exists in town.

Comments for this section:

growth is natural and should pay for itself.

Limited support till the subdivisions that are already going are filled

Agri. Land that feeds us has got to be preserved

New homes will increase costs required for services.

I do support new Homes but not just to pay for Government

Concerned that we already struggle to keep up streets, irrigation, etc... adding more homes seems to be moving in the wrong direction.

Only if it does not destroy agricultural or this section: land in any way.

Not sure what this means - doesn't comprehensive plan include new (albeit ~~so~~ managed dulut) and it wouldn't this include new revenue from property taxes?

Yes - if growth is managed and agricultural vision is not compromised.

COMMENTS FOR THIS SECTION:
Only if new construction was designed to fit in to Palisade. There is a street, I think it's Moss. It is west off of Alberta. That street doesn't even feel like Palisade. It feels like any street in any town.

We are a desirable community;
Isn't this an obvious way to grow our base?
But, don't develop the ag land.

Take care of Town streets — Infrastructure
before Recreation

COMMENTS FOR THIS SECTION:

The water bill has already increased. New homes means more sewage/water lines which means rate increases. No

This is happening with all the new low income houses being built

COMMENTS FOR THIS SECTION:

my property value is already decreasing due to the low income housing going in behind my property. I had no say in this matter.

No because you built one subdivision with the idea that there would be single story one family homes that turned into two story multi family condos

Comments for this section: fact: More growth = much more town expenses
New town employees should be required to live w/in 3 miles of town.

question 7. I indicated "very poor" for water. Our domestic water is of very high quality and I am happy w/ the service. What I am very disappointed w/ is the ~~town's~~ ^{town's} reluctance to assist homeowners w/ recovering the irrigation water that we all have the right to use. We must make irrigation service a high priority to increase town aesthetics and the "Agricultural Village atmosphere" we all enjoy.

Ag land and open space are crucial elements of this community. More residential construction would create too much stress on agricultural activities and the best Palisade has to offer. Tourism (people who visit & leave) is much better!!

Would have the expense of a new sewer system.

Comments for this section:

Steady - Intelligent - well planned growth

Comments for this section: It's an impossibility to both "preserve & foster the agricultural village atmosphere" AND "foster tourism, economic growth" etc.

New homes (growth) lead to increased costs: more schools, roads, use of infrastructure.

- Sewer plant needs to be resolved
- The subdivision that was downgraded to a higher density / smaller sq ft low-income housing is a bad signal for growth

Yes, but not at the expense of agricultural lands.

Comments for this section:

Growth is not a solution for govt spending.

How ELSE ARE YOU GOING TO GET NEW TAX REVENUE WITHOUT NEW RESIDENTS AND BUSINESS? DID ANYONE IN THE TOWN ADMIN OR BOARD OF TRUSTEES TAKE ANY ECONOMICS COURSES??

Why you don't listen to the people
who actually live in Palisade →
How many town employees actually
live in Palisade so how do you know
How to spend that towns money?

Comments for this section: v ror.

After development the town still has to provide
maintenance on streets, waterlines, sewer lines. More
Police protection and fire services.

Moderate residential growth with a PLAN. Do not become
another Fruita.

Inverse relationship between growth &
quality of life. Also, not positive that
growth pays its way!

Palisade has an opportunity to become a great
community with the plans in place. Don't hesitate to raise
sales tax or property tax to pay for these. We need the Palisade H.S. (old)
to keep moving forward. The Coym is a awesome addition to the
community.

Town administrator should live in Palisade so he would have a genuine interest in making decisions to improve & maintain the town.

Building new parks & plaza are nice - but taking care of the town's character is more important. I grew up in a cute CA winetown. What made it great was people could afford to water their yards. Our downtown was quaint & all the old homes in the downtown made the town unique. Get irrigation water so people can water their lawn & take pride in their yards. Palisade has character that should not deteriorate.

Comments for this section:

Are you trying to pitch growth to the Residents as a way to cut taxes? tricky

Comments for this section:

It would be interesting + informative to have signage on main roads that tells what type of agriculture one is driving past
i.e. "Peaches" "Apples" "Apricots" Wine grapes
by variety too.

Palisade Police Department (Please circle one)

.....
too many houses empty now !!

Only if adjacent to other housing/neighborhoods.
NOT want to see orchards ripped out for new neighborhoods.
There should always be highly visible open farmland in
orchard, grapes, hay etc. around the town to keep its identity
and atmosphere.

GROWTH TO PAY FULL VIEW ~~STREETS~~ TO DEVELOP MIGHT
STREETS ONLY. NOT A OVERALL CHANGE OF APPEARANCE
TO THE AREA ITSELF!

Comments for this section:

I would prefer seeing additional single family
& poss. some duplexes added. Really do believe
we have enough (or even an abundance) of
apartment bldgs. & mobile home parks (which house

Comments for this section:

World like to see open spaces and agricultural
preserved. Pay for services by increased
taxes through increased property values

I would rather pay higher taxes -
or annex the vine lands. Keeping open fields
& seeing geese graze is a great part of Palisade

THE NEW HOMES THAT HAVE BEEN BUILT ARE OF VERY POOR QUALITY WITH NO REGARD FOR ENERGY OR WATER CONSERVATION, WHY CONTINUE?

COMMENTS FOR THIS SECTION:

With strict zoning and not to detract from current homes/businesses.

Comments for this section: We need more diversified housing opportunities for senior citizens, young couple getting started and families that want to invest their time & money in a community that they can call home.

THE DEVELOPER SHOULD CHARGE IMPACT FEES TO THE BUYER FOR SERVICES

Agriculture & the preservation of our ag. land is what makes Palisade special. If we stand subdividing our farms, we won't be any different than Fruita, which must have thrown any zoning laws out the door 20 years ago.

We already have way too many low income and vacant homes in Palisade. You could infill the subdivision by the High School with appropriate houses (no modular) that fit in with the couple of existing homes.

I live in Palisade because it's a wonderful, small, agricultural community. I want it to stay that way. By keeping Palisade a desirable place to live, that will drive up property values and tax revenue.

I believe we should continue to improve what we have. The Family Food town grocery store & town square improvements are great! The old school improvements and Riverbend Park are great too. The stupid fish ladder to save a worthless sucker is a bad idea, but we (Palisade) may not have had anything to do with that.